

# The fairy tale of the change of consciousness - the climate change

An article from July 2015 by Martin Besecke - [www.martinbesecke.de](http://www.martinbesecke.de)

In the meantime, there are increasing signs that the politicians are now, in fact and in earnest, seeking to reach out and conclude comprehensive climate-friendly goals and measures at the international level.

Unfortunately, these are far from sufficient, and therefore the so-called climate change and its disastrous consequences continue to be a global fact and thus an existential threatening topic and problem.

Interestingly, this political change and will is not due to awareness raising or pressure from the streets or from environmental and activist organizations, who unwittingly claim these developments as their sole success, but mainly from economic contexts.

Because the underlying truth is that the banks and especially the insurance companies are slowly starting to invest decreasingly in carbon-rich energies and industries. Because the potential costs and losses caused by the consequential damage and the associated negative economic impact are becoming in the meantime too high for the financial elite. Moreover, is the in future only possible, difficult to access and technically very demanding development and production of the last resources, too risky and too expensive. At the same time, the profit margins, which in principle can only be achieved from fossil fuels, no longer comply with the expectations of the investors, so that new sources of money should be sought and developed.

And because of these contexts and because just no change in consciousness has been completed, are the desired goals then just not enough, but still follow only pure economic interests and the ideas of the finance economy, which is exclusively interested only in the expansion of money and power!

And the politics jumps on this moving train or bends out of self-interest and power conservation in this case even with pleasure these new interests of the money power, because it can sell these goals unjustifiably as an own great and positive idea and enforcement success and also does, in order for the people to be able to suggest or fool their own legitimacy and something like a capacity for action as well as to celebrate!

And if the previously discussed climate protection goals can actually be decided on and adopted at the international political level, but then will have to pay for the necessary restructuring and the multi-billion investments, due to the actual power relations between politics and finance or because of the personal interaction of politics and finance, ultimately mainly the taxpayers and consumers! A bomb mega business!!!

In spite of these half-hearted goals, the societies and the people will with high probability be satisfied with these half-hearted ones and in principle continue as before.

But this "well at least-better than nothing-attitude" is due to the existential nature of this topic not sufficient, wrong and especially in the existential sense extremely fatal, because such an attitude basically and always backfires.

Because in 2014, the value of global average warming was 0,85 °C, and we can see all over the world the disastrous consequences of only this warming with the melting of glaciers and polar ice caps, the acidification of the oceans including the coral extinction, rising sea levels, the increasing extinction of

animal and plant species, increasing extreme weather conditions such as with long-lasting periods of drought or heavy rain as well as permanent regional climatic and biotope changes.

Even if this value could be kept at 0,85 °C, but would still be e.g. the polar ice caps continue to melt, with all the catastrophic consequences that come with it.

(Addition: The global average warming in 2017 was already 1,2 °C!)

How will the world look like when it is warmed by 2 °C, the desired goal of limiting global warming until the year 2100?

Or even at only 1,5 °C?

Incidentally, a goal to achieve that at all, in the meantime, in principle, is considered by all experts to be completely unrealistic.

And just this 2 °C discussion has already led to a broad awareness that at this value, in principle, everything would still remain in the so-called worry-free area. - What an existential error!

And even if the announced activities would then actually be implemented and that also as soon as possible and not first as e.g. in China from 2030 onwards, nevertheless, according to the current state of knowledge, this would still mean a global warming of at least 2,7 °C - 3 °C, some even speak of a warming to 5 °C - 6 °C.

It does not take a lot of imagination what this value should have for global effects.

In addition, there is another factor that is not really taken into account in the previous public debate: According to the current state of research, the so-called heat-trapping gases need around 30 years, until they have arrived in the atmospheric layers, in which unfolds their climate damaging effect.

If that were ultimately to prove so, that would mean that most of the emissions that are harmful to the climate have not yet reached the atmosphere, that is, a peak is not reached yet. Or, to put it more clearly, that there will definitely be a much higher level of warming than the current level of 0,85 °C and that this can not be prevented, even if all emissions were stopped immediately.

Also, to date, the science has not even included the methane emissions from the thawing permafrost soils.

Likewise, the scientific evidence is increasing that climate change does not seem to be a linear development, but galloping progresses.

And that means, that then with it all previous predictions and climate protection plans and goals would be unrealistic.

But in the light of the current overall systemic dovetailing of the energy issue on growth, trade, stock market, consumption, mobility, jobs, tax revenues, profit, financial (investment, banking, insurance), politics (democracy, community, social benefits) and geopolitical power relations, should be at the so-called "climate agreement", which is to be agreed in Paris in December 2015, extensive tricked and haggled, so that ultimately the result will not require a mandatory binding rapid and radical reconstruction.

In summary, this means we are definitely heading for climate change whose global environmental, overall systemic and societal impacts will be catastrophic.

**Addition:** Unfortunately, these statements seem to be completely approved, as the so-called UN World Climate Agreement of Paris 2015 (COP 21) clearly shows!

Here the world climate agreement in the original wording as PDF file! >>

**ADDITION:**

For some years it can be seen in the subtle, in the subtle levels that the climate change can no longer be limited or stopped!

In the subtle it can be seen that the climate change is far more advanced than can be recognized and captured by the science as yet, that it is already in a self-reinforcing process and that it is galloping progresses and does not represent a linear development, as the science still believes.